

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/524,758		AOYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	NATHAN BLOOM		2624	

All Participants:

(1) NATHAN BLOOM.

(2) N. Meyer Zohn (Reg. 55761).

Date of Interview: 31 July 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims 3, 9, and 14 in view of JP H11-196313

Claims discussed:

3,9, 11-12, and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

JP H11-196313

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 10:30 AM EST

07/31/2008

/N. B./

Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed (07/22/2008) that the independent claims 3, 9, and 14 be amended to overcome the newly cited prior art document JP H11-19631. Furthermore, correction of the dependency of claims 11-12 (dependent on cancelled claim) was proposed by the Examiner. Applicant and Examiner discussed (07/22/2008) and approved (07/31/2008) an amendment (see Examiner's Amendment for details) to claims 3, 9, 11-12, and 14.